

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 WILLIAM LEONARD PICKARD,

No. C 06-00185 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A
REPLY AND TO RESCHEDULE
HEARING**

13 v.

14 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff's request for leave to file a reply brief to Defendant's reply brief (dkt. 256) is
18 DENIED as inappropriate. See Civil L.R. 7-3(d). The Court agrees with the government
19 that "[t]his ten-year-old case does not need more unnecessary paper and more unnecessary
20 briefing." Opp'n (dkt. 257) at 2. However, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's request to the
21 extent that it seeks to move the hearing date from September 16 to October 28, 2016 (a
22 request in which the government joins).

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
25 Dated: August 8, 2016


26 CHARLES R. BREYER
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28